

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,156	341,156 04/25/2001		Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-033001	4159
26171	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON P.C.	DOTY, HEATHER ANNE		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2813	-
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/200	DATE MAIL ED: 04/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/841,156	YAMAZAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Heather A. Doty	2813		

	Heather A. Doty	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing dis	ginally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-12,14,19,47,48,50 and 51</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-45</u> .			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and the state of the state	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	•		nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		Paul Shite	heed!
		CARL WHITEHE SUPERVISORY PATEN	
	•	TECHNOLOGY CEN	TER 2800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Yoneda et al. teaches that it is conventional to form a red light-emitting element, a green light-emitting element, and a blue light-emitting element in a light-emitting device. Using structures conventionally known in an art offers time-saving advantages, since it is not necessary to develop new technologies. Therefore, that a structure is conventional in an art is in itself an advantage, so the combination of Yoneda et al. with Terada et al. and Bando is proper. Yoneda et al. might offer alternative advantages of not using separate red, green, and blue light-emitting elements, but does not teach away from the conventionality of using them.